## Pushdown Automata

A pushdown automaton, or PDA, extends the  $\varepsilon$ -NFA mode by adding a stack with its own alphabet  $\Gamma$  (which may be different from  $\Sigma$ ). Naturally, only the topmost symbol on the stack is visible.

Transition notation for the stack:

<u>a,b|cb</u> means: on input a with b on top of the stack, push c (on top of b).

- <u>a,b|c</u> means: on input a with b on top of the stack, pop the stack and push c.
- $a,b|_{\mathcal{E}}$  means: on input a with b on top of the stack, pop the stack.

Here is another example. This automaton accepts  $\{0^n1^n | n \ge 0\}$ 



We will use the symbol  $Z_0$  for the stack bottom (it marks the empty stack) and X as a placeholder for anything on the stack.

Example: the following PDA accepts strings in (0+1)\* that are evenlength palindromes



This automaton accepts strings that get to state U after consuming all of their input. Note that if it starts with an empty stack the stack will be empty at the end of the input. We need a more formal and deterministic way to think about PDA computations.

A configuration is a triple (q, w,  $\gamma$ ) where

- q is a state
- w is a string (the portion of the input not yet used)
- g is a string of stack symbols (the complete contents of the stack, with the top on the left)

Here is a configuration analysis of for the input 0011:



One step in such a configuration analysis is  $(q,aw,x\beta) \longrightarrow (p,w,y\beta)$ This is valid if the PDA has a transition



We write  $(q,w_1,\alpha) \xrightarrow{*} (p,w_2,\beta)$  if there is a sequence of steps that take the PDA from the first configuration to the second.

Formally a PDA is a 7-tuple ( $\Sigma$ ,Q, $\delta$ ,s,F, $\Gamma$ ,Z<sub>0</sub>) where

- $\Sigma$ ,Q,s,F have the same meanings as with DFAs
- $\delta$  is our configuration transforomation function
- $\Gamma$  is the alphabet of stack symbols
- Z<sub>0</sub> is the stack bottom

There are two commonly used definitions of what it means for the PDA to accept a string: Acceptance by final state: If P is the PDA ( $\Sigma$ ,Q, $\delta$ ,s,F, $\Gamma$ ,Z<sub>0</sub>) then  $\mathcal{F}(P) =$ 

{w|there is q  $\in$  F and  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$  so that (s,w,Z<sub>0</sub>)  $\xrightarrow{*}$  (q, $\varepsilon,\alpha$ )}

<u>Acceptance by empty stack</u>: If P is the PDA  $(\Sigma, Q, \delta, s, F, \Gamma, Z_0)$  then  $\mathcal{E}(P) = \{w | \text{there is some state q so that } (s, w, Z_0) \xrightarrow{*} (q, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}$ 

For a given automaton P,  $\mathcal{E}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(P)$  are not necessarily the same. However, the languages that can be accepted by empty stack are the same as those that can be acceped by final state:

Theorem 1: Start with PDA P. Then there is a PDA P' where  $\mathcal{F}(P')=\mathcal{E}(P)$ .

Theorem 2: Start with PDA P. Then there is a PDA P' where  $\mathcal{E}(P')=\mathcal{F}(P)$ .

**Theorem 1**: Start with PDA P. Then there is a PDA P' where  $\mathcal{F}(P')=\mathcal{E}(P)$ . **Proof**: To make P', start with P. Create a new start state s' which pushes a new stack symbol X<sub>0</sub> onto the stack before Z<sub>0</sub>. Make a new final state F. For each state q of P add a transition

$$\mathbf{q} \xrightarrow{\epsilon, X_0 | \epsilon} \mathbf{F}$$

If P ever accepts a string by emptying its stack, P' can transition to its final state F.

On the other hand, if P' ever accepts a string then at the end of the the input there must be only X<sub>0</sub> on the stack, so P must have emptied its stack.

**Theorem 2**: Start with PDA P. Then there is a PDA P' where  $\mathcal{E}(P')=\mathcal{F}(P)$ . **Proof**: This is easy. Start with P' the same as P. Give P' a new state E that empties the stack:

ε,X|ε E

and add an  $\epsilon$ -transition from every final state to E. String w can take P to a final state if and only if w empties the stack of P'.