

Final Group Project Report Rubric

CSCI 374 Fall 2022 Oberlin College

<u>Category</u>	<u>Criteria</u>				<u>Points</u>
	1	2	3	4	
Abstract	No abstract included	Abstract was very short or very long and/or didn't give an overview of the paper	Abstract was a little too long or short but provided an overview of the paper.	Abstract provided a concise yet complete overview	
Introduction	No introduction included	Introduction was missing many required details	Introduction provided some of the list of four in Criteria 4 (but not all), or not all four were adequately described	Included paragraphs adequately describing: 1. The big picture motivating the project 2. The specific problem 3. The solution used 4. A summary of the results	
Background & Related Work	No background provided	A little background was described. Lacked technical sources.	Background details were most there. Some sources were included.	Background details were clearly described. Related work had enough sources (including at least 3 published papers).	
Problem	No description of the problem	Problem section was too short and missing many important details	Most necessary details to understand the problem were presented, but not quite enough	Problem was clearly described with sufficient detail to know what was being solved.	
Solution	No description of the solution	Solution section was too short and missing many important details	Most necessary details to understand the solution were presented, but not quite enough	Solution was clearly described with sufficient detail to know how the problem was being solved.	
Experimental Setup	No description of the experimental setup.	Important information needed to replicate and understand the experiments were missing.	Experiments were described. Performance measures were mentioned. Most details needed for replication were included.	Experiments were clearly explained. Performance measures were defined and all details necessary for replication were provided.	

Results Section	No results section	Few results were described. Results were not presented well and few (if any) observations were highlighted.	Results were presented and observations highlighted. Some analysis was provided but could have included more explanation and meaning.	Results were well analyzed and described. Told the entire POEM: P: Present the results O: highlight key Observations E: Explain why the observations occurred M: what is the Meaning (key takeaways?)	
Conclusions & Future Work	No conclusions or future work section	Paper was missing one of either the conclusions or future work	Paper summary was missing a few details and/or only one idea for future work described	Paper summarized in one paragraph and at least two ideas for future work described	
Tables and Figures	No tables or figures in the report.	Tables and/or figures were difficult to read and didn't add to the discussion	Tables and/or figures were included, but not mentioned in the text (disjointed use)	Tables and/or figures used well in synergy with the text in the report.	
Organization and Flow	Paper was difficult to read and follow	Paper was loosely organized. Paragraph or section transitions were often abrupt.	Paper had some organization. Paragraph or section transitions were rough a small number of times.	Paper was well organized. Paragraphs and sections flowed nicely.	
Spelling and Grammar	Paper contained many spelling and/or grammatical errors	Paper contained more than 5 spelling or grammatical errors	Paper contained 3-5 spelling/grammatical errors	Paper contained no more than 2 spelling/grammatical errors	
Citations and References	No citations and/or references		Citations and references were included, but were not properly formatted	Citations and references were included and properly formatted	